EXHIBIT 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS :

Master File No. 2:12-md-02311 Honorable Marianne O. Battani

ANTITRUST LITIGATION

2:16-cv-04103- MOB-MKM

IN RE ACCESS MECHANISMS

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

END-PAYOR ACTION

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS AND THE ALPHA DEFENDANTS AND ENTERING DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO ALPHA DEFENDANTS

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this Court should not approve the settlement between End-Payor Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants ALPHA Corporation and Alpha Technology Corporation (together, "ALPHA") set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), dated and effective as of January 11, 2018 relating to the above-captioned action (the "Action"). The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this final judgment ("Judgment") approving the Agreement. Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the parties to the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefor, it is:

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated herein as though fully set forth in this Judgment.

- 2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 23(g), Class Counsel, previously appointed by the Court (Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy LLP, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Susman Godfrey L.L.P.), are appointed as counsel for the settlement class ("Settlement Class"). These firms have, and will, fairly and competently represent the interests of the Settlement Class.
- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, over the equitable non-monetary relief contained in Paragraph 4 herein, over the Action, and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. Plaintiffs, having filed a complaint in the Action alleging that ALPHA conspired to rig bids, allocate markets and fix prices for Access Mechanisms, and ALPHA, having denied Plaintiffs' allegations and having represented it would assert defenses thereto, have entered into the Agreement to settle the Action with respect to Access Mechanisms to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, to obtain the releases, orders, and judgment contemplated by the Agreement, and to put to rest with finality all claims that have been or could have been asserted against ALPHA Releasees with respect to Access Mechanisms. ALPHA has agreed that for a period of twenty-four (24) months from the date of the entry of this Judgment not to engage in conduct that constitutes a *per se* violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (whether characterized as price-fixing, market allocation, bid rigging, or otherwise) with respect to the sale of Access Mechanisms as such term is defined in the Agreement. Pursuant to the Agreement, ALPHA has agreed to provide specified monetary compensation to Plaintiffs, and to cooperate with Plaintiffs in connection with the continued prosecution of the Action.

- 5. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class pursuant to Rule 23.
- 6. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the individual and class claims asserted against ALPHA, with Plaintiffs and ALPHA to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.
- 7. All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, in an individual or representative or derivative capacity, against the Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 8. The Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 9. Neither the Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Agreement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.
- 10. The notice given to the Settlement Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all

4

persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) and the requirements of due process.

- 11. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the enforcement of this Judgment; (b) the enforcement of the Agreement; (c) any application for distribution of funds, attorneys' fees or reimbursement of costs and expenses made by End-Payor Plaintiffs' Counsel; (d) any application for incentive awards for the End-Payor Plaintiffs; and (e) the distribution of the settlement proceeds to Settlement Class members.
- 12. The persons and entities identified on Exhibit "A" hereto have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons and entities are not included in or bound by this Judgment. Such persons and entities are not entitled to any recovery from the settlement proceeds obtained through this settlement. Nothing in this Judgment shall be construed as a determination by this Court that such persons and entities are members of any of the classes or proposed classes in the *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 12-md-02311.
- 13. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, then the Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void and the parties shall be returned to their respective positions ex ante.
- 14. The Escrow Account, into which ALPHA has deposited assets with a total value of US \$2,698,000 as the Settlement Amount (as defined in paragraphs 15 and 25 of the Agreement), plus accrued interest thereon and net any expenses incurred as contemplated in paragraph 26 of the Agreement, is approved as a Qualified Settlement Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

15. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(a) and (b) that this Judgment should be entered

and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a final

judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement.

16. The Court's certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without

prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including ALPHA, to contest certification

of any other class proposed in In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. 12-md-

02311. The Court's findings in this Judgment shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any

motion to certify any class in In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. 12-md-

02311. No party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or

binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any class.

17. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.

Dated:	
	MARIANNE O. BATTANI
	United States District Judge